



INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF
CHILD
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For June 2008

Region 08 June 2008



Published
July 2008



DCS Practice Indicator Report

Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 8	136	114	83.82%
Clay	9	9	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Clay County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 8	136	114	83.82%
Parke	7	7	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Parke County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 8	136	114	83.82%
Sullivan	23	19	82.61%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Sullivan County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 8	136	114	83.82%
Vermillion	9	7	77.78%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Vermillion County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 8	136	114	83.82%
Vigo	88	72	81.82%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Vigo County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 8	266	3.92	84	3.68
Clay	24	1.79	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Clay County Average Visitations and Contacts





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 8	266	3.92	84	3.68
Parke	38	1.82	3	1.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Parke County Average Visitations and Contacts





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 8	266	3.92	84	3.68
Sullivan	32	3.22	14	1.86


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Sullivan County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 8	266	3.92	84	3.68
Vermillion	12	5.67	3	2.67


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Vermillion County Average Visitations and Contacts





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 8	266	3.92	84	3.68
Vigo	160	4.76	64	4.25


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Vigo County Average Visitations and Contacts





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 8	18	2	11.11%	145	8	5.52%
Clay County	1	0	0.00%	0	0	NA


Statewide Rates



Region 8 Rates



Clay County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 8	18	2	11.11%	145	8	5.52%
Parke County	0	0	NA	4	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 8 Rates



Parke County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 8	18	2	11.11%	145	8	5.52%
Sullivan County	1	0	0.00%	13	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 8 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Sullivan County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 8	18	2	11.11%	145	8	5.52%
Vermillion County	0	0	NA	12	1	8.33%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 8 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Vermillion County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 8	18	2	11.11%	145	8	5.52%
Vigo County	16	2	12.50%	116	7	6.03%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 8 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Vigo County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 8	78	49.7%	33	21.0%	21	13.4%	25	15.9%	613.16	371.28
Clay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2,884.41	2,884.41


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Clay County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 8	78	49.7%	33	21.0%	21	13.4%	25	15.9%	613.16	371.28
Parke	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	298.01	201.00


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Parke County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 8	78	49.7%	33	21.0%	21	13.4%	25	15.9%	613.16	371.28
Sullivan	7	50.0%	3	21.4%	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	692.37	424.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Sullivan County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 8	78	49.7%	33	21.0%	21	13.4%	25	15.9%	613.16	371.28
Vermillion	4	33.3%	7	58.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	440.59	453.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Vermillion County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 8	78	49.7%	33	21.0%	21	13.4%	25	15.9%	613.16	371.28
Vigo	64	50.8%	22	17.5%	19	15.1%	21	16.7%	612.78	322.00


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Vigo County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 8	35	28	80.00%	2.51
Clay County	0	0	NA	NA


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Clay County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 8	35	28	80.00%	2.51
Parke County	1	1	100.00%	2.00


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Parke County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 8	35	28	80.00%	2.51
Sullivan County	3	3	100.00%	2.33


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Sullivan County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 8	35	28	80.00%	2.51
Vermillion County	2	0	0.00%	2.00


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Vermillion County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 8	35	28	80.00%	2.51
Vigo County	29	24	82.76%	2.59


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Vigo County Percent Together






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves


For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 8	3.05
Clay	6.00


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 8 Average Number of Placements



Clay County Average Number of Placements






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves


For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 8	3.05
Parke	2.60


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 8 Average Number of Placements



Parke County Average Number of Placements








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 8	3.05
Sullivan	3.87








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 8	3.05
Vermillion	2.05








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 8	3.05
Vigo	3.05






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS


For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 8	157	108	68.79%
Clay County	1	0	0.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Clay County Percent Placed Locally






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS


For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 8	157	108	68.79%
Parke County	4	0	0.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Parke County Percent Placed Locally






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS


For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 8	157	108	68.79%
Sullivan County	14	6	42.86%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Sullivan County Percent Placed Locally






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS


For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 8	157	108	68.79%
Vermillion County	12	5	41.67%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Vermillion County Percent Placed Locally






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS


For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 8	157	108	68.79%
Vigo County	126	97	76.98%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Vigo County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


CHINS Placements by County

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%
Clay County	6	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Clay County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%
Parke County	5	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Parke County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


CHINS Placements by County

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%
Sullivan County	33	19	57.58%	4	12.12%	9	27.27%	0	0.00%	1	3.03%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Sullivan County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%
Vermillion County	21	9	42.86%	1	4.76%	5	23.81%	6	28.57%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Vermillion County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


CHINS Placements by County

For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%
Vigo County	185	59	31.89%	20	10.81%	88	47.57%	15	8.11%	3	1.62%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Vigo County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Clay	105	46.67%	9	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Clay County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Parke	29	20.69%	7	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Parke County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Sullivan	50	18.00%	24	12.50%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Sullivan County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Vermillion	24	29.17%	9	55.56%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Vermillion County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Vigo	353	7.08%	89	37.08%

Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Vigo County Percent Removal

